

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,409	11/13/2001	Vladimir Nikitin	FIS920010238US1	5123
32074 75	590 02/12/2003			
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 52 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			EXAMINER	
			GLENN, KIMBERLY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2817	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summary	10/054,409	NIKITIN, VLADIMIR			
, omeo nederi cumuly	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Kimberly E Glenn	rrespondence address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,9,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Çlaim(s) <u>5-8 and 11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/054,409

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 9, 10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lee et al US Pat. 6,144,545. (Of record)

Lee et al discloses MEMS connected between a voltage supply system (57), the MEMS inherently having a mechanical resonant frequency and said voltage supply system having the capability for supplying a voltage with a frequency corresponding to the mechanical resonate frequency. The MEMS including a movable part (53) having the mechanical resonant frequency. The MEMS including a first electrode (55) spaced from a second electrode (54), a further including a movable part 52 including the second electrode. The voltage supply system is connected to the first electrode (55) and the second electrode (54). The voltage supply system is connected to the second electrode through the movable part (52). The MEMS is a cantilever or bridge type. (See figures 3 and 5) In figure 3 the movable electrode (33) is connected at one end to a spring (32), which is connected to support portion 31. A DC voltage is also supplied to the movable part. The method steps to the above apparatus are inherent. Lee et al states in column 4 lines 57-60, that the power supply applies a voltage in which in AC voltage of 20 sin (2 π frt) where fr is the resonant frequency of the actuator.

Art Unit: 2817

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al US Pat. 6,144,545 (of record).

Lee et al teach a MEMS connected between a voltage supply system (57), the MEMS having a mechanical resonant frequency and said voltage supply system having the capability for supplying a voltage with a frequency corresponding to the mechanical resonate frequency. The MEMS including a movable part (53) having the mechanical resonant frequency. The method steps are inherent.

Thus Lee et al is shown to teach all the limitations of the claims with the exception of the AC voltage having a frequency in the range of 1 KHz.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have the AC voltage having a frequency in the range of 1 KHz, since it has been held that where the general condition of the claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8 and 11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,409

Art Unit: 2817

With regards to claim 5, the prior art of record does not disclose or fairly teach the voltage supply system including a control circuit connected to the voltage supply. With regards to claim 6, the prior art of record does not disclose a voltage supply system comprising of a logic gate, a first comparator, a differentiator and a capacitor detector. With regard to claim 11, the prior art does not disclose or fairly teach the detecting motion of the movable part (capacitor detector)

Page 4

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mihailovich et al US Pat. 6,417,473, Ward US Pat. 6,445,195, Edelstein et al US Pat. 6,501,268 and Edwards et al US Pat. 6,094,971.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly E Glenn whose telephone number is (703) 306-5942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kimberly E Glenn Examiner

Art Unit 2817

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2817